


CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

400 SOUTH VIRGINIA ST., ROOM 301

RENO, NEVADA 89501


OFFICIAL BUSINESS



95814+4436-759960F02195

Stuart L. Somach
DeCuir & Somach
400 Capitol Mall
Suite 1900
Sacramento, CA 95814-

NIXIE 957 1 21 07/21/06
RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
BC: 89501219576 *0841-06211-14-97
|||||



047J82004067
\$00.390
Mailed From: 89501
07-14/2006
US POSTAGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
RENO, NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	3:73-CV-0127-ECR-RAM
)	In Equity No. C-125
Plaintiff,)	Subfile No. C-125-B
)	
WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,)	MINUTES OF THE COURT
)	
Plaintiff-Intervenor)	DATE: July 12, 2006
)	
vs.)	
)	
WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,)	
a corporation, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
)	

PRESENT: EDWARD C. REED, JR. U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Deputy Clerk: COLLEEN LARSEN Reporter: NONE APPEARING

Counsel for Plaintiff(s) NONE APPEARING

Counsel for Defendant(s) NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS

On March 10, 2006, the Magistrate Judge entered an Order (#855) denying the Motion (#795) of Joseph and Beverly Landolt to disqualify counsel Gordon DePaoli. On April 5, 2006, the Landolts filed a Notice of Appeal (#858) from the Order (#855) of the Magistrate Judge. On April 6, 2006, we ordered that we would consider the appeal.

The Magistrate Judge is acting in the case on an order of reference from the District Judge made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 636(a). Said statute provides that we may reconsider any pretrial matter referred pursuant to § 636 (A) where it has been shown that the magistrate judge's order is clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

We have reviewed the appellate briefings submitted by the parties and have determined that the magistrate judge's order is not clearly erroneous

nor contrary to law. The Landolts have not established that the contested representation is directly adverse to any of DePaoli's clients nor any other basis for finding an ethical breach that would impact the Landolts' interest in a just and lawful determination of their claims.

IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED that the magistrate judge's order (#855) is affirmed.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By _____ /s/ _____

Deputy Clerk